

BANACH LIE ALGEBROIDS

MIHAI ANASTASIEI

ABSTRACT. First, we extend the notion of second order differential equations (SODE) on a smooth manifold to anchored Banach vector bundles. Then we define the Banach Lie algebroids as Lie algebroids structures modeled on anchored Banach vector bundles and prove that they form a category.

INTRODUCTION

Lie algebroids are related to many areas of geometry and became recently an object of extensive studies. See [5] for basic definitions, examples and references. In 1996 A. Weinstein [6] proposed some applications of the Lie algebroids in Analytical Mechanics. New theoretical developments followed. See the survey [4] by M. de Leon, J. C. Marrero and E. Martinez about Mechanics on Lie algebroids.

In [1], we gave a construction of a semispray associated to a regular Lagrangian on a Lie algebroid.

In this paper, we consider the notion of Lie algebroid in the category of Banach vector bundles, that is vector bundles over smooth Banach manifolds whose type fibres are Banach spaces. Such a Banach vector bundle over base M is called anchored if there exists a morphism from it to the tangent bundle TM . First, we extend the usual notion of second order differential equations (SODE) to anchored Banach vector bundles and we show that if a Banach vector bundle admits a homogeneous SODE it is necessarily anchored. Then we define the Banach Lie algebroids as Lie algebroid structures modeled on anchored Banach vector bundles. In our setting only one from three equivalent definitions of a morphism of Lie algebroids is working. Using it we show that the Banach Lie algebroids form a category.

1. ANCHORED BANACH VECTOR BUNDLES

Let M be a smooth i.e. C^∞ Banach manifold modeled on Banach space $\mathbf{M}$ and let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ be a Banach vector bundle whose type

Key words and phrases. Banach vector bundles, anchor, Second Order Differential Equations, Lie algebroids .

fiber is a Banach space $\mathbf{E}$. We denote by $\tau : TM \rightarrow M$ the tangent bundle of M .

Definition 1.1. We say that the vector bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ is an anchored vector bundle if there exists a vector bundle morphism $\rho : E \rightarrow TM$. The morphism ρ will be called the anchor map.

Let $\mathcal{F}(M)$ be the ring of smooth real functions on M . We denote by $\Gamma(E)$ the $\mathcal{F}(M)$ -module of smooth sections in the vector bundle (E, π, M) and by $\mathcal{X}(M)$ the module of smooth sections in the tangent bundle of M (vector fields on M).

The vector bundle morphism ρ induces an $\mathcal{F}(M)$ -module morphism which will be denoted also by $\rho : \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(M)$, $\rho(s)(x) = \rho(s(x))$, $x \in M, s \in \Gamma(E)$.

Let $\{(U, \varphi), (V, \psi), \dots\}$ be an atlas on M . Restricting U, V if necessary we may choose a vector bundle atlas $\{(\pi^{-1}(U), \overline{\varphi}), (\pi^{-1}(V), \overline{\psi}), \dots\}$ with $\overline{\varphi} : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{E}$ given by $\overline{\varphi}(u) = (\pi(u), \overline{\varphi}_{\pi(u)})$, where $\overline{\varphi}_{\pi(u)} : E_{\pi(u)} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is a topological isomorphism. Here $E_{\pi(u)}$ is the fiber of (E, π, M) in $u \in E$. The given atlas on M together with a vector bundle atlas induce a smooth atlas $\{(\pi^{-1}(U), \phi), (\pi^{-1}(V), \psi), \dots\}$ on E such that E becomes a Banach manifold modeled on the Banach space $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{E}$. The map $\phi : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \varphi(U) \times \mathbb{E}$ is given by

$$\phi(u) = (\varphi(\pi(u)), \overline{\varphi}_{\pi(u)}(u)), \quad u \in E.$$

For a section $s : U \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U)$, its local representation $\phi \circ s \circ \varphi^{-1} : \varphi(U) \rightarrow \varphi(U) \times \mathbb{E}$ given by $(\phi \circ s \circ \varphi^{-1})(\varphi(x)) = (\varphi(\pi(s(x))), \overline{\varphi}_{\pi(s(x))}(s(x))) = (\varphi(x), \overline{\varphi}_x(s(x)))$ is completely determined by the map $s_\varphi : \varphi(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ given by $s_\varphi(\varphi(x)) = \overline{\varphi}_x(s(x))$ which will be called the local representative (shortly l.r.) of s . On $U \cap V$ we may speak also of the l.r. s_ψ of a section $s : U \cup V \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U \cap V)$ given by $s_\psi(\psi(x)) = \overline{\psi}_x(s(x))$. It is clear that we have

$$(1.1) \quad s_\psi(\psi(x)) = \overline{\psi}_x \circ \overline{\phi}_x^{-1}(s_\varphi(\varphi(x))), \quad x \in U \cap V.$$

For a vector field $X : U \rightarrow \tau^{-1}(U)$ we have a l.r. $X_\varphi : \varphi(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ and on $U \cap V$ we have also a l.r. X_ψ and one holds

$$(1.2) \quad X_\psi(\psi(x)) = d(\psi \circ \varphi^{-1})(\varphi(x))(X_\varphi(\varphi(x))), \quad x \in U \cap V,$$

where d means Frechet differentiation.

Locally, ρ reduces to a morphism $U \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{M}$, $(x, v) \rightarrow (x, \rho_U(x)v)$ with $\rho_U(x) \in L(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{M})$, the space of continuous linear maps from $\mathbf{E}$ to $\mathbf{M}$. We call $\rho_U(x)$ the l.r. of ρ . On overlaps of local charts one easily gets

$$(1.3) \quad \rho_V(x) \circ \overline{\psi}_x \circ \overline{\varphi}_x^{-1} = d(\psi \circ \varphi^{-1})(\varphi(x)) \circ \rho_U(x), \quad x \in U \cap V$$

- Example 1.2.**
1. *The tangent bundle of M is trivially anchored vector bundle with $\rho = I$ (identity).*
 2. *Let A be a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$ on M . It is regarded as a section of the bundle of linear mappings $L(TM, TM) \rightarrow M$ and also as a morphism $A : TM \rightarrow TM$. In the other words, A may be thought as an anchor map.*
 3. *Any subbundle of TM is an anchored vector bundle with the anchor the inclusion map in TM .*
 4. *Let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ be only a submersion. The subspaces $V_u E = \pi^{-1}(x), \pi(x) = u$ of TE over E denoted by VE form a subbundle called the vertical subbundle. By Example 3) this is an anchored Banach vector bundle.*

The anchored vector bundles over the same base M form a category. The objects are the pairs (E, ρ_E) with ρ_E the anchor of E and a morphism $f : (E, \rho_E) \rightarrow (F, \rho_F)$ is a vector bundle morphism $f : E \rightarrow F$ which verifies the condition $\rho_F \circ f = \rho_E$.

2. SEMISPRAYS IN AN ANCHORED VECTOR BUNDLE

Let (E, π, M) be an anchored vector bundle with the anchor map ρ and let $\pi_* : TE \rightarrow TM$ be the differential (tangent map) of π .

We denote by $\tau_E : TE \rightarrow E$ the tangent bundle of E .

Definition 2.1. A section $S : E \rightarrow TE$ will be called a semispray if

- (i) $\tau_E \circ S = \text{identity on } E$,
- (ii) $\pi_* \circ S = \rho$.

The condition (i) says that S is a vector field on E . The condition (ii) can be written also in the form

$$\pi_{*,u}(S(u)) = \rho(u) = (\rho \circ \tau_E)(S(u)), \quad u \in E.$$

When $E = TM$ and $\rho = \text{identity on } TM$, S is simultaneously a vector field on TM and a section in the vector bundle $\pi_* : TTM \rightarrow TM$ i.e. it is a second-order vector field on M in terminology from [2, p.96]. Such a vector field is frequently called a second order differential equation (SODE) on M or a semispray.

As we will see below, in our context S is no more related to a second order differential equation on M and so the corresponding terminology is inadequate.

Let $c : J \rightarrow E$ for $\circ \in J \subset \mathbb{R}$ a curve on E . The differential of c is $c_* : J \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow TE$ and using $\iota : J \rightarrow J \times \mathbb{R}, t \rightarrow (t, 1), t \in J$ we set $c'(t) = c_* \circ \iota$.

It is clear that $\pi \circ c$ is a curve on M and we have that $(\pi \circ c)'(t) = \pi_{*,c(t)} \circ c'(t)$.

Definition 2.2. A curve c on E will be called admissible if $(\pi \circ c)'(t) = \rho(c(t))$, $\forall t \in J$.

Locally, if $c : J \rightarrow \varphi(U) \times E$, $t \rightarrow (x(t), w(t))$ then $\pi \circ c : J \rightarrow \varphi(U)$ is $t \rightarrow x(t)$, $t \in J$ and it follows that x is an admissible curve if and only if

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{dx}{dt} = \rho_U(x(t))w(t), \quad t \in J$$

Theorem 2.3. A vector field S on E is a semispray if and only if all its integral curves are admissible curves.

Proof. Let S be a semispray. A curve $c : J \rightarrow E$ is an integral curve of S if $c'(t) = S(c(t))$. It follows $\pi_* \circ c'(t) = (\pi_* \circ S)(c(t))$ or $(\pi \circ c)'(t) = \rho(c(t))$, that is c is an admissible curve. Conversely, let S be a vector field on E whose integral curves are admissible. For every $u \in E$ there exists a unique integral curve $c : J \rightarrow E$ of S such that $c(0) = u$ and $c'(0) = S(u)$. We have $\pi_* \circ c'(0) = (\pi_* \circ S)(u)$, $(\pi \circ c)'(0) = (\pi_* \circ S)(u)$ and $\pi_* \circ S = \rho$ since c is admissible. $\square$

We restrict to a local chart (U, φ) on M . Then $TU \simeq \varphi(U) \times \mathbb{M}$, $E|_U \simeq \varphi(U) \times \mathbb{E}$ and $TE|_U \simeq (\varphi(U) \times \mathbb{E}) \times \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{E}$.

The l.r. of a vector field on E is $S_\varphi : \varphi(U) \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \varphi(U) \times \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{E}$, $S_\varphi(x, u) = (x, u, S_\varphi^1(x, u), S_\varphi^2(x, u))$. As l.r. of π_* is $\varphi(U) \times \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \varphi(U) \times \mathbb{M}$, $(x, u, y, v) \rightarrow (x, y)$ the condition $\pi_* \circ S = \rho$ translates to $S_\varphi^1(x, u) = (x, \rho_U(x)u)$. We set for convenience $S_\varphi^2(x, u) = -2G_\varphi(x, u)$ and so the l.r. of a semispray for the anchored vector bundle (E, π, M) with the anchor ρ is given as follows:

$$(2.2) \quad S_\varphi(x, u) = (x, u, \rho_U(x)u, -2G_\varphi(x, u)).$$

Let (V, ψ) be another local chart and let us set $h = \psi \circ \varphi^{-1} : \varphi(U \cap V) \rightarrow \psi(U \cap V)$. Then $h_* : \varphi(U \cup V) \times \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \psi(U \cap V) \times \mathbb{M}$ is given by $(x, v) \rightarrow (x, dh(x)(v))$, $x \in \varphi(U \cup V)$, $v \in \mathbb{M}$.

Let us denote by $H : \varphi(U \cap V) \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \psi(U \cap V) \times \mathbb{E}$ the map given by $H(x, u) = (h(x), M(x)u)$, where $M(x) = \overline{\psi}_x \circ \overline{\varphi}_x^{-1} \in L(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})$. Then H_* is locally given as the pair $(H, H') : \varphi(U \cup V) \times \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \psi(U \cap V) \times \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{E}$, where the derivative $H'(x, u)$ is given by the Jacobian matrix operating on the column vector ${}^t(y, w)$ with $y \in \mathbb{M}$ and $w \in \mathbb{E}$. Thus (H, H') takes the form $(x, u, y, v) \rightarrow (h(x), M(x)u, h'(x)y, M'(x)(y)(u) + M(x)v)$ with prime being denoted the Frechet derivative.

If S_ψ is l.r. of S in the chart (V, ψ) , necessarily we have $(H, H') \circ S_\varphi = S_\psi$ with $S_\psi(x, u) = (h(x), M(x)u, \rho_U(h(x))M(x)u, -2G_\psi(h(x), M(x)u))$.

Computing $(H, H') \circ S_\varphi$ and identifying with S_ψ one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_V(h(x))M(x)(u) &= h'(x)\rho_U(x)(u) \\ (2.3) \quad G_\psi(h(x), M(x)u) &= M(x)G_\varphi(x, u) - \frac{1}{2}M'(x)(\rho_U(x)u)u. \end{aligned}$$

The first equation (2.3) is just (1.3) and the second provides the connection between the l.r. G_φ and G_ψ on overlaps. We have

Theorem 2.4. *A vector field S on E is a semispray if and only if it has l.r. S_φ in the form (2.2) and the functions involved in (2.2) satisfy (2.3) on overlaps of local charts.*

Proof. The "if part" was proven in the above. The converse is obvious. $\square$

We denote by $h_\lambda : E \rightarrow E$, $h_\lambda(u_x) = \lambda u_x$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda > 0$, $x \in M$, the homothety of factor λ .

Definition 2.5. We say that a semispray S is a spray if the following equality holds

$$(2.4) \quad S \circ h_\lambda = \lambda(h_\lambda)_* \circ S.$$

Locally, (2.4) is equivalent to

$$(2.5) \quad G_\varphi(x, \lambda v) = \lambda^2 G_\varphi(x, v), \quad (x, v) \in U \times \mathbb{E}.$$

Indeed, $(S \circ h_\lambda)(u) = S(\lambda u) = (x, \lambda v, \rho_U(\lambda v), -2G_\varphi(x, \lambda v))$ and $\lambda(h_\lambda)_* S(u) = (x, \lambda v, \lambda \rho_U(v), -2\lambda^2 G_\varphi(x, \lambda v))$. Since ρ_U is a linear mapping, (2.4) implies (2.5) and conversely.

We look at (2.5). If we fix $x \in U$ and omit the index φ we get a mapping $G : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ that verifies $G(\lambda v) = \lambda^r G(v)$ for all $\lambda > 0$ and $r = 2$. We say that such a map is positively homogeneous of degree r .

For such mapping the following Euler type theorem holds.

Theorem 2.6. *Suppose that a mapping $G : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is differentiable away from the origin of $\mathbb{E}$. Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (i) G is positively homogeneous of degree r ,
- (ii) $dG_v(v) = rG(v)$, for all $v \in \mathbb{E} \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. Suppose (i) holds. Fix $v \in \mathbb{E}$ and differentiate the equation $G(\lambda v) = \lambda^r G(v)$ with respect to the parameter λ . We get $dG_{\lambda v}(\lambda v) = r\lambda^{r-1}G(v)$ and for $\lambda = 1$, $dG_v(v) = rG(v)$, that is (ii) holds.

Conversely, suppose (ii), fix v and consider the mapping $\lambda \rightarrow G(\lambda v)$ with $\lambda > 0$. By the chain rule, we have $\frac{dG(\lambda v)}{d\lambda} = dG_{\lambda v}(v) = \frac{1}{\lambda}dG_{\lambda v}(\lambda v) =$

$\frac{r}{\lambda}G(\lambda v)$, that is the mapping $\lambda \rightarrow G(\lambda v)$ is a solution of the differential equation:

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}G(\lambda v) - \frac{r}{\lambda}G(\lambda v) = 0.$$

The integrating factor $\frac{1}{\lambda^r}$ then gives $G(\lambda v) = \lambda^r C$, where C is depending on our fixed v . Setting $\lambda = 1$, we get $C = G(v)$ and so $G(\lambda v) = \lambda^r G(v)$, that is (i) holds, q.e.d. $\square$

The proof of Theorem 2.6 shows also that if $G : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is of class C^1 on $\mathbb{E}$ and positively homogeneous of degree 1, then it is linear and $G(v) = dG_v(v)$. Moreover, if G is C^2 on $\mathbb{E}$ and is positively homogeneous of degree 2, then it is quadratic, that is $2G(v) = d_v^2 G(v, v)$.

Returning to the (2.5) we note that if G_φ is of class C^2 in the points $(x, 0)$, then it is quadratic in v .

Thus S satisfying (2.4) reduces to a quadratic spray. For avoiding this reduction we have to delete from E the image of the null section in the vector bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow M$.

Now, we show that if for a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ there exists a vector field S_0 on E that satisfies (2.4) then $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ is an anchored vector bundle and S_0 is a spray.

Let be $S_0(x, v) = (x, v, S_{01}(x, v), S_{02}(x, v))$ in a local chart on E . Then $S_0(h_\lambda u) = S_0(x, \lambda v) = (x, \lambda v, S_{01}(x, \lambda v), S_{02}(x, \lambda v))$ and $(h_\lambda)_* S_0(u) = (x, \lambda v, S_{01}(x, v), \lambda S_{02}(x, v))$. The condition (2.4) implies $S_{01}(x, \lambda v) = \lambda S_{01}(x, v)$ and $S_{02}(x, \lambda v) = \lambda^2 S_{02}(x, v)$. It follows that S_{01} is a linear map with respect to v . Hence we may put $S_{01}(x, v) = \rho_U(x)v$, $\rho_U(x) : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$. Using $\{\rho_U(x), x \in M\}$ one defines a morphism $\rho : E \rightarrow TM$. Thus $E \rightarrow M$ is an anchored vector bundle. As $(\pi_* S_0)(u) = (x, S_{01}(x, v)) = (x, \rho_U(x)v)$ we have $\pi_* \circ S_0 = \rho$ and as $\tau_E \circ S_0 = \text{id}$ automatically holds it follows that S_0 is a spray.

3. CATEGORY OF BANACH LIE ALGEBROIDS

Let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ be an anchored Banach vector bundle with the anchor $\rho_E : E \rightarrow TM$ and the induced morphism $\rho_E : \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(M)$.

Assume there exists defined a bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_E$ on the space $\Gamma(E)$ that provides a structure of real Lie algebra on $\Gamma(E)$.

Definition 3.1. The triplet $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E)$ is called a Banach Lie algebroid if

- (i) $\rho : (\Gamma(E), [\cdot, \cdot]_E) \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}(M), [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism and
- (ii) $[s_1, s_2]_E = f[s_1, s_2]_E + \rho_E(s_1)(f)s_2$, for every $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ and $s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(E)$.

- Example 3.2.** 1. *The tangent bundle $\tau : TM \rightarrow M$ is a Banach Lie algebroid with the anchor the identity map and the usual Lie bracket of vector fields on M .*
2. *For any submersion $\pi : E \rightarrow M$, the vertical bundle VE over E is an anchored Banach vector bundle. As the Lie bracket of two vertical vector fields is again a vertical vector field it follows that $(VE, i, [,]_{VE})$, where $i : VE \rightarrow TE$ is the inclusion map, is a Banach Lie algebroid. This applies, in particular, to any Banach vector bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow M$.*

Let $\Omega^q(E) := \Gamma(\Lambda^q A^*)$ be the $\mathcal{F}(M)$ -module of differential forms of degree q . In particular, $\Omega^q(TM)$ will be denoted by $\Omega^q(M)$. The differential operator $d_E : \Omega^q(E) \rightarrow \Omega^{q+1}(E)$ is given by the formula

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (d_A \omega)(s_0, \dots, s_q) &= \sum_{i=0, \dots, n} (-1)^i \rho_E(s_i) \omega(s_0, \dots, \widehat{s_i}, \dots, s_q) \\ &+ \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq q} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([s_i, s_j]_E, s_0, \dots, \widehat{s_i}, \dots, \widehat{s_j}, \dots, s_q) \end{aligned}$$

for $s_1, \dots, s_q \in \Gamma(E)$, where hat over a symbol means that that symbol must be deleted.

For Lie algebroids constructed on vector bundles with finite dimensional fibres there exist three different but equivalent notions of morphisms.

For Banach Lie algebroids only one of them is working. We give it here. For a detailed discussion on Lie algebroids morphisms see [3]. Let (E', π', M) be a Banach vector bundle and $(E', \rho_{E'}, [,]_{E'})$ a Banach Lie algebroids based on it.

Definition 3.3. A vector bundle morphism $f : E \rightarrow E'$ over $f_0 : M \rightarrow M'$ is a morphism of the Banach Lie algebroids $(E, \rho_E, [,]_E)$ and $(E', \rho_{E'}, [,]_{E'})$ if the map induced on forms $f^* : \Omega^q(E') \rightarrow \Omega^q(E)$ defined by $(f^* \omega')_x(s_1, \dots, s_q) = \omega'_{f_0(x)}(f s_1, \dots, f s_q)$, $s_1, \dots, s_q \in \Gamma(E)$ commutes with the differential i.e.

$$(3.2) \quad d_E \circ f^* = f^* \circ d_{E'}.$$

Using this definition it is easy to prove

Theorem 3.4. *The Banach Lie algebroids with the morphisms defined in the above, form a category.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Anastasiei, M., Geometry of Lagrangians and semispray on Lie algebroids. BSG Proceedings 13, Geometry Balkan Press, 2006, p.10-17.

- [2] Lang, S., Fundamentals of Differential Geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 191, Springer, 1999.
- [3] Higgins, P.J., Mackenzie, K., Algebraic constructions in the category of Lie algebroids. J. Algebra, 129 (1990), no.1, 194-230.
- [4] Leon de, M., Marrero J.C., Martinez E., Lagrangian submanifolds and dynamics on Lie algebroids, J. Phys.A: Math.Gen.38(2005), R241-R308
- [5] Mackenzie K., General theory of Lie grupoids and Lie algebroids. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 213, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005, 501 p.
- [6] Weinstein A., Lagrangian mechanics and grupoids. Fields Inst. Comm. 7(1966), 207-231

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, "AL.I. CUZA" UNIVERSITY, BD. CAROL I,
IASI, 700506, ROMANIA

E-mail address: `anastas@uaic.ro`